

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
PALO ALTO

TERRENCE P. MCMAHON (State Bar No. 71910)
tmcmahon@mwe.com
ANTHONY DE ALCUAZ (State Bar No. 65599)
adealcuaz@mwe.com
McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
3150 Porter Drive
Palo Alto, CA 94304
Telephone: (650) 813-5000
Facsimile: (650) 813-5100

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES AUSTRALIA
PTY LIMITED and ARISTOCRAT
TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES
AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED and
ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

INTERNATIONAL GAME
TECHNOLOGY and IGT,

Defendants.

Case No. C 06-3717 (MJJ)

ELECTRONIC CASE FILING

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
MODIFYING THE DATE FOR ARISTOCRAT
TECHNOLOGIES AUSTRALIA PTY
LIMITED AND ARISTOCRAT
TECHNOLOGIES, INC. TO FILE REPLY TO
DEFENDANTS' COUNTERCLAIMS**

Before: Honorable Judge Martin J. Jenkins

This Stipulation and [Proposed] Order is entered into in light of the following:

1. On July 28, 2006, IGT filed its answer, defenses and counterclaims.
2. On August 17, 2006, Aristocrat filed its Motion to Strike, counterclaims of defendants.
3. On September 25, 2006, the Court denied Aristocrat's Motion to Strike.
4. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc., Rule 12, Plaintiffs' reply to Defendants' counterclaims is due on October 5, 2006.
5. Aristocrat has requested, and IGT has agreed to, a one week extension of time for Aristocrat to file its reply to IGT's counterclaims.

1 ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, that the time presently set for
2 the filing and service of Aristocrat's reply to IGT's counterclaims may be extended from
3 October 5, 2006 until October 12, 2006.

4 Dated: October 3, 2006

Dated: October 3, 2006

5 KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP

MC DERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

7 By: /s/Jeffrey Love

By: /s/ Arturo E. Sandoval

8 Jeffrey Love
9 Samir Pandya
10 Garth A. Winn
11 Attorneys for Defendants
12 INTERNATIONAL GAME
13 TECHNOLOGY AND IGT

Terrence P. McMahon
Anthony de Alcuaz
Arturo E. Sandoval
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES
AUSTRALIA PTY LTD and
ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES,
INC.

14 ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 DATED: 10/10/2006


17 MARTIN J. JENKINS
18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28